

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/552,089	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HOENES ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ESSAMA OMGBA	<b>Art Unit</b> 3726	

**All Participants:**

(1) ESSAMA OMGBA.

(2) Ryan Barker.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 June 2011

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph and 35 USC 103*

Claims discussed:

*9, 11, 18, 22, 23, 29 and 30.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Of record.*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Authorization was given by Mr. Barker to amend the claims to overcome the 35 USC 103 rejections and correct 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph problems and typographical errors in the claims in order to place the application in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)